



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/672,935  | 09/26/2003  | Takeshi Konno        | HGM-115-A           | 9456             |
| 21828   | 7590        | 03/23/2005           | EXAMINER            |                  |
| CARRIER BLACKMAN AND ASSOCIATES<br>24101 NOVI ROAD<br>SUITE 100<br>NOVI, MI 48375 |             |                      | NGUYEN, TAI T       |                  |
|   |             |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|   |             |                      | 2632                |                  |

DATE MAILED: 03/23/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

AK

|                          |                        |                     |  |
|--------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| <b>Interview Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b> | <b>Applicant(s)</b> |  |
|                          | 10/672,935             | KONNO ET AL.        |  |
|                          | <b>Examiner</b>        | <b>Art Unit</b>     |  |
|                          | Tai T. Nguyen          | 2632                |  |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Tai T. Nguyen. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Mr. Carrier (Reg. No. 31,748). (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 18 March 2005.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1,8,14 and 18.

Identification of prior art discussed: \_\_\_\_\_.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant argues on the references used to reject the claimed invention and point out the reference of either Tanaka or Konna does not teach the receiver, processor, primary lock mechanism, actuator, and theft detection unit are located in a single housing.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.



03/18/05

Examiner's signature, if required